

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

J					
APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/200,874 1		1/27/1998	YOSHIO KIMURA	35.C13132	9880
5514	7590	05/13/2002			
		LA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
• •	OCKEFELLER PLAZA YORK, NY 10112			CARTER, TIA A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2622	
				DATE MAILED: 05/13/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
. '	09/200,874	KIMURA, YOSHIO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tia A Carter	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 February 2002 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the app							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	; 						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority docu 							
·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the Internation	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
LS Patent and Trademark Office							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/200,874

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/11/02 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive. In regards to applicants' response, the Examiner clearly disagree with the findings of the applicant. Whereas, Konishi reference is related to image processing apparatus for correcting the image quality of an output image. The applicants' states on page 6 of the response that "matching the color reproduction nature of the output apparatuses may not be possible unless all output devices request calibration". In contrast, Konishi discloses several embodiments and alternate features, one in particular, utilizes a computer program to assess the calibration process for the output apparatus excluding the request features, however, performing the same steps and/or procedures (Fig. 9, col. 8, lines 29-43). Furthering, the applicant also states on page 7 of the response "nothing in Konishi would teach or suggest forming correction data corresponding for other output apparatus on the basis of the output characteristics data of a reference output apparatus". In contrast, Konishi discloses the optional use of plural output devices wherein the calibration implemented (col. 7, lines 44-47), furthermore the calibration feature performs several calculation wherein the reference output apparatus may be constituted as the computer for delivering the data wherein it outputs data to devices which output an image (Fig. 6, col. 6, lines 21-57).

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Konishi (Pat. No. 6046820).

Regarding claim [1], Konishi discloses an image processing method (Column 2, lines 21-25) comprising the steps of:

Inputting output characteristics data corresponding to each of plurality of output apparatuses that output an image including a reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 27-31; col. 7, lines 27-31); and

Calculating correction data corresponding to the other output apparatus on the basis of the output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus and the output characteristics data of the other output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 32-39),

Managing the calculated correction data corresponding to each of the output apparatuses (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 20-26), <u>The computer disclosed which incorporates the processor is responsible for controlling and managing the correction data based on the request and/or the preset program codes.</u>

Art Unit: 2622

Wherein in association with a revision of the output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus, the correction data corresponding to the other output apparatus is revised on the basis of the revised output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 10-15 and lines 40-54). Further the cited reference discloses the correction process that output the appropriate data. An example of this process is given in (Fig. 2, column 4, lines 21-27).

The cited reference, also, notes the use of plural output devices/apparatuses in which can be implemented throughout the disclosed apparatus, however the cited reference only discloses the use of a printer and a host computer (Column 7, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim [2], Konishi discloses a method according claim 1, wherein said output characteristics data is formed by a calibration function of said output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 8-10).

Regarding claim [4], Konishi discloses a method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of setting said reference output apparatus (Fig. 4, column 5, lines 27-35). It should be noted that claim limitation of "setting" could be equated to any operational feature for configuring an apparatus.

Regarding claim [6], Konishi discloses a method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2622

Transmitting said correction data to a client computer (Fig. 1 & 5, column 5, lines 40-43 & 53); and

Correcting input image data on the basis of said correction data by said client computer (Fig. 1 & 5, column 5, lines 54-57).

Regarding claim [7], Konishi an image processing apparatus that can communicate to a plurality of output apparatuses that output an image, including a reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 27-31; col. 7, lines 44-47), comprising:

A correction processing processor, adapted to calculate a correction process to image data by using correction data according to the output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 32-39);

An input unit, adapted to input output characteristics data of each output apparatus from said plurality of output apparatuses that output an image, including the reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 27-31); and

A management unit, adapted to manage the calculated correction data corresponding to each of the output apparatuses (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 20-26); and <u>The computer disclosed which incorporates the processor is responsible for controlling and managing the correction data based on the request and/or the preset program codes.</u>

A revision unit, adapted to revise the correction data corresponding to the other output apparatus on the basis of the output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 40-55) and the output characteristics data of the other output apparatus (Fig. 2, column 4, lines 21-35; col. 7, lines 44-47).

Art Unit: 2622

The cited reference, also, notes the use of plural output devices/apparatuses in which can be implemented throughout the disclosed apparatus, however the cited reference only discloses the use of a printer and a host computer (Column 7, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim [8], Konishi discloses an apparatus to claim 7, further comprising image forming means for forming an image on the basis of said correction processed image data (Fig. 2, column 4, lines 24-27).

Regarding claim [9], Konishi discloses a memory medium in which a program for an image processing method has been stored (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 16-24; col. 7, lines 48-63) comprising the steps of:

Inputting output characteristics data corresponding to each of plurality of output apparatuses that output an image, including a reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 27-31); and

Calculating correction data corresponding to the other output apparatus on the basis of the output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus and the output characteristics data of the other output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 32-39),

Managing the calculated correction data corresponding to each of the output apparatuses (Fig. 1, col. 3, 20-26); the computer disclosed which incorporates the processor is responsible for controlling and managing the correction data based on the request and/or the preset program codes.

Art Unit: 2622

Wherein in association with a revision of the output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus, the correction data corresponding to the other output apparatus is revised on the basis of the revised output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 10-15 and lines 40-54). Further the cited reference discloses the correction process that output the appropriate data. An example of this process is given in (Fig. 2, column 4, lines 21-27).

The cited reference, also, notes the use of plural output devices/apparatuses in which can be implemented throughout the disclosed apparatus, however the cited reference only discloses the use of a printer and a host computer (Column 7, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim [10], Konishi discloses a computer program for an image processing method (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 16-19; Figs. 8 & 9, col. 8, lines 14-36) comprising:

Inputting output characteristics data corresponding to each of plurality of output apparatuses that output an image, including a reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 27-31); and

Calculating correction data corresponding to the other output apparatus on the basis of the output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus and the output characteristics data of the other output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 32-39),

Managing the calculated correction data corresponding to each of the output apparatuses (Fig.1, col. 3, 20-26); the computer disclosed which incorporates the

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/200,874

Art Unit: 2622

processor is responsible for controlling and managing the correction data based on the request and/or the preset program codes.

Wherein in association with a revision of the output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus, the correction data corresponding to the other output apparatus is revised on the basis of the revised output characteristics data of the reference output apparatus (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 10-15 and lines 40-54). Further the cited reference discloses the correction process that output the appropriate data. An example of this process is given in (Fig. 2, column 4, lines 21-27).

The cited reference, also, notes the use of plural output devices/apparatuses in which can be implemented throughout the disclosed apparatus, however the cited reference only discloses the use of a printer and a host computer (Column 7, lines 44-47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Konishi (U.S. Pat. No. 6046820) in view of Konishi (U.S. Pat. No. 5950036).

. Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim [3], Konishi differs from claim 3 in that he does not disclose the measuring of colors of an image, however, Konishi does disclose measuring the density of the sample image (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 35—37 & 54-55).

However, Konishi (5950036) discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein the output characteristics data of said reference output apparatus is derived by measuring a color of an image formed by an image signal corrected on the basis of the correction data formed by a calibration process after completion of said calibration process (Fig.10, column 7, lines 10-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Konishi wherein after the calibration process a step of measuring the color of the sample image would be implemented for quality color print jobs (Column 2, lines 21-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Konishi by the teachings of Konishi (5950036).

Regarding claim [5], Konishi differs from the limitation in claim 5 in that Konishi does not clearly disclose the use of a user specifying instruction.

However, Konishi (5950036) discloses a method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of setting said plurality of output apparatuses on the basis of an instruction of the user (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 51-60).

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/200,874

Art Unit: 2622

The cited reference, also, notes the use of plural output devices/apparatuses in which can be implemented throughout the disclosed apparatus, however the cited reference only discloses the use of a printer and a host computer (Column 13, lines 13-16).

It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Konishi wherein a user is used to input instructions to the output apparatuses other than the use of a controlled software application from the supplying device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Konishi by the teachings of Konishi (5950036).

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Raijavec (US. 6256111), Kawamura et al. (US. 4926268), Kanamori (US. 0012110), Moro et al. (US. 5638188), Moror et al. (US. 5748772), Kuwata (US. 6351558), Uchiyama et al. (US. 4967283), Udagawa et al. (US. 51811050) are cited to show related art with respect to calculating data for correction of output devices.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2622

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tia A Carter whose telephone number is 703 - 306-5433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-6036 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-6056.

Art Unit: 2622

Tia A Carter Examiner Art Unit 2622

TAC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600